

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

ANDRES QUINTA CORTIÑAS ET AL

U.S. Serial No. 10/519,165 Group Art Unit 3644

Filed: February 9, 2005 K. Smith, Examiner

IMPROVED SUBMERSIBLE FARM

Alexandria, Virginia June 19, 2007

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

## RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the official action mailed January 19, 2007. Applicants have considered the outstanding official action. It is respectfully submitted that the application is directed to patentable subject matter and in condition for allowance as set forth below.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,653,193

(Marissal) in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,257,350

(Streichenberger) and further in view of U.S. Patent No.

6,431,107 (Byle) and further in view of U.S. Patent No.

6,431,107 (Byle) and further in view of U.S. Patent No.

6,431,107 (Byle) and further in view of U.S. Patent No.

6,431,107 (Byle) and further in view of U.S. Patent No.

6,431,007 (Byle) and further in view of U.S. Patent No.

6,431,007 (Byle) and further in view of U.S. Patent No.

6,431,007 (Byle) and further in view of U.S. Patent No.

6,431,007 (Byle) and further in view of U.S. Patent No.

6,431,007 (Byle) and further in view of U.S. Patent No.